

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Mulberry Primary	Support	because very little space for parking
		Before the scheme was put in place the road outside our school was incredibly dangerous at school opening & closing times - as it is a primary school, there are many young children and their even younger siblings. It's a huge school so not enough room on the pavements - children & adults would spill out into the road and cars would drive through almost pushing people out of the way. It was an accident waiting to happen. It got worse when the pandemic guidelines led to parents having to wait outside the gates all together, but was awful even before that. Also, many parents would drive to drop off their children and would be reverse parking all over the place, towards the edge of pavements where toddlers they couldn't see would be standing. When parked they would nearly all keep their engines idling while they waited for the gates to open - with the exhaust fumes blowing literally into the faces of the small children walking past into school. All of this has now stopped thanks to the scheme so I fully support it.
		Cars and traffic are speeding the roads at school times and if the road is not changed to school street a child will get knocked down.
		Cars were clogging up Parkhurst Road at dropping off and picking up times, making it unsafe for children to cross
		for children and parents to have healthier, safer route into school
		Great initiative for environment, health and safety of all - well done!
		Haringey Council has to keep these school streets and show some balls, this borough is so obsessed with cars and each street is stuffed with traffic and parked cars. Tell me why in a part of the borough where loads of people are apparently living below the poverty line there is constant SUVs and range rovers. Even small cars aren't cheap to run. If I wanted to pitch a tent or put a shed in a car parking spot I wouldn't be allowed to but car owners have a sense of entitlement with parking their giant lump of metal on the street and putting the lives of children, vulnerable people and animals at risk. We also want decent cycle lanes around Tottenham Hale and the High Road. Haringey are so far behind and all of your green initiatives are just green washing, this is the least you can do and stop kissing the arses of car owners who can't be bothered getting off their arses and over to the multiple tube and train stations or bus stops we have within a stones throw of every home. Remember, actual vulnerable people can rarely afford cars because they are too often overlooked for jobs etc. and even if they do have cars, they are paying the price of lazy, entitled people driving everywhere by being stuck in traffic with them.
		I am in favour of safer and less polluted streets
		I do think the road the street is on has been much safer and more pleasant for the children. However, as a resident whose kids go to another school, sometimes we need to use our car and have now been penalised twice by accidentally driving home along the road after school drop off. I strongly believe the cut off should be 9am when all the children should be at school. Other schools in the area have a 9am cut off, Coleraine Harris Academy for example. My husband and I have been caught out twice at 9.13 am and 9.10 am when we are on our way home, the school kids have gone in. I do feel this has been very unfair. I contested my fine and didn't receive a letter and the fine was tripled and I had to pay over £200. This should not happen, but I could not get in touch with the company who fined me as it was outsourced by the council. I felt very angry about this. So in all, yes the school streets is working, but it should only be until 9am.
		I strongly support this scheme. too many cars park in my street, I dont have space to park my own car.
		I support the scheme as given the chance people who drive their children to school they would park in the school playground to get them there. They park on the pavements - it is not a safe place at school time.
		I think it's a good idea to keep roads safe and clean around the time children are going to school
		Increased safety for children, too many cars let running awful for environment and childrens lungs
		Is less traffic
		It's time to get rid of cars that are plaguing the borough ,we are in a climate emergency
		I've found this successful at Harris Academy Coleraine Park although there is still heavy traffic in surrounding streets and traffic buildup whilst drivers are doing u-turns etc. We will be having building work on our house - how will this affect our builders/plumbers etc etc???
		Less litter, less idle cars, less passing cars during school runs, significant better air. Less aggressive parents and car owners. Less verbal violence. Less damage to house fences . Less damage to resident cars A much calmer environment. (And more people with a smile on their face....) Please keep it on.
		make life less stressful. We won't have to drive round and round to find a parking space in our street.
		Modern. Clever (Europe is way ahead of London in this regard). opportunity to improve the cosmetic appearance of the street. better for the environment. better for pedestrians & cyclists. will encourage cycle uptake.
		Only should be those picking up children with mobility issues or children going to school elsewhere. Otherwise should encourage children walking to school. Excellent idea.
		parents park cars everywhere even on pavement creating a risk for the children by not showing care on health and safety making difficult for people like me trying to come home from work or even leaving house to doctors.
		reducing traffic is a good thing especially traffic from outside the area. Hate rat running cars speeding down our road.
		Safety of children. Safety of planet.
		Several benefits and safer for children. Setting good example.
		support making area around school safer and less congested
		Support the scheme as it is definitely needed. The amount of cars every morning during term time is ridiculous and dangerous, not just for children. poorly parked vehicles and inconsiderate.
		The council is supposedly introducing full time parking controls on Seymour Avenue after a process of consultation that started in 2020. We're now in the Spring on 2022 and we are still waiting. Attempts to get meaningful information from Haringey council in terms of a solid timeline have failed despite multiple residents reaching out to the Highways and Parking team multiple times, including myself. The current situation on the road remains busy with lots of parking by non-residents, even by those who live nearby but prefer to park on our road. This school street initiative has made a difference, at least either side of the day, by reducing traffic. I have noticed, as you would predict, parents parking on the edge of the school street zone to avoid a charge during operation times, some of which does get close to the school. The council should keep the controls and look to extend them slightly further to ensure it meets its goal of keeping the area clear of cars during a time when kids are making their way to and from school. The council should also make good its promise to introduce the Tottenham Hale CPZ to fulltime, which will make a difference also.
		The road has already improved in one week of schools being back. Parkhurst Road was previously full of massive cars with their engines idling while the children were being dropped off at school and constant streams of traffic. Now I can cycle down the road or even walk on the road if there are loads of people on the pavements and am seeing more children from that school either cycling or scooting to school with their parents.
		This is badly needed - the amount of cars dropping children off, speeding idling engines etc. This will encourage families to walk.
		Too many cars around school pick up and drop off, I hope this will be permanent as it will reduce traffic
		Too many people drive to schools generally to deliver children
		we have found it much better with the school street scheme in place as there is less traffic and is much safer for the children , I am all for having the the scheme as a permanent fixture
		We support it, because Parkhurst Road is dangerous and polluted at drop off and pick up times. Parents keep parking on the double yellows and zigzags, and they also keep idling their engines. We would like to see a small extension of the scheme, however, at Scotland Green. Instead of starting the scheme at the top of Parkhurst Road, start it at the bottom of Kemble Road and take Scotland Green away to park. Otherwise parents will just cause mayhem there in the first few weeks.
		when this comes into operation would I need a permit for my school bus to attend my day centre?
		While it is a good idea, the signage is very poor such that it is designed to raise revenue in PCN charges. The current signage is not clear for the unsuspecting driver as it is on the pavement. The information should be painted on the road as the driver approaches the restriction. I appreciate that this raises the cost, but fair warning must be given to drivers so that they can reverse or turn away.
		I strongly support this scheme, although wish the Council was doing a lot more, much faster and much more radically. Society will only tackle the climate emergency when each and every one of us is inconvenienced personally. More of this, please. HOWEVER, I had my exemption for the school street approved on 7 Jan 2022 (Ref: HC-X). I have since been issued THREE PCNs in error by Haringey Council in error. (X, Y and Z.) These were issued for 'Failing to comply with a restriction on vehicles entering a pedestrian zone' (contravention code 53), however in each case, my exemption was already active and so I had every right to drive my electric vehicle along the school street. If the Council is going to bring people with it when implementing these schemes, it HAS to ensure its systems are up to scratch. It is 2022 and Haringey Council has not managed to ensure that its School Street exemptions database can talk to its PCN issuing database, and so PCNs are being issued in error. Not once, not twice, but THREE TIMES now. This has got to stop. Every time one of these PCNs is issued, I am charged an admin fee by the finance company from whom I lease this electric vehicle. So Haringey Council's mistakes are costing me personally financially, over and above the PCN amounts (which of course I won't pay because they've been issued by mistake). I have challenged all three PCNs using the PCN portal on the website, but that is not the point. The point is, they should never have been issued in the first place. Please can you explain why this has been allowed to happen and what steps are being taken to ensure it doesn't happen again?
	Object	As a resident without children I find it hard to know when it's operational and when not - in school holidays too? It's really frustrating that there is no way around the timings for our visitors - we have builders and contractors plus family that need to visit us and we are restricted by these times. If the whole road was permitted then the school visitors couldn't park here anyway so they would not be able to stay. Your guidance suggests we ask our visitors or deliveries to park elsewhere and but since all other roads are permitted and there are no local "pay by phone" bays it's not possible to do that.
		Because children still go outdoor to play and there are still plenty of vehicles driving at that point. It is going to be difficult for residents to find parking or visitors. Also what about delivery drivers?
		Exemption for Sherringham ave. people already make it difficult to park outside along the ave. Non residents already cause parking issues, 44-66 should be exempt.

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Mulberry Primary	Object	<p>Firstly, the system for applying for school street exemptions is broken. Despite applying and having a permit accepted, I was still fined for entering at this time. Secondly and most importantly, this scheme ignored all the residents complaints of parking and driving in the area. The main complaint is that residents cannot park in the area because Haringey decided to make Seymour and Sherringham avenue the only event day streets in the area. This means everyone uses these streets as free parking - royal mail workers from the nearby depot, trade vans and people wanting to use Bruce grove (i.e. non residents) are regularly seen filling up the otherwise half empty street, making it impossible for residents to park on their own street. This is significant as the main source of traffic to the school street are parents, teachers and other people entering the area to use this free parking for pickups and shopping. Instead of addressing this issue which would significantly reduce traffic and benefit residents, the school street was introduced. All the effort to set up a school street could have instead/also been used to change the area to resident only from event day. Therefore, the plans for the school street should be scrapped as it further restricts residents with the poor application system and doesn't address the main issues. Alternatively, the street should additionally be fitted with full time parking restrictions, which would better reduce traffic compared to the school street.</p> <p>I can understand that this scheme is ideal as safety and healthy for the pupils. However, it does not work for Parkhurst Road, N17, as the drivers do not have many routes to go through, so all packed and congested at road Windsor and Havelock Road. Since scheme started, there is actually more pollution as cars, vans all diverted onto one road and been very dangerous as more car accidents cannot avoid other cars in such narrow road; more dangerous as pupils walk down the road and car drivers are avoiding other cars in narrow road, and being irritated with lack of space and congestion - so more stressed out drivers. I strongly believe PARKHURST ROAD, N17 - SCHOOL STREET SHOULD BE ABOLISHED- unless you want more car accidents.</p> <p>I have a court injunction against someone who lives locally it is near enough with the school zone. Based on personal safety I cannot run away from them, this won't help me.</p> <p>I need my children to drive me to appointments and groceries shopping, so I will be stuck here at home when they cannot drive here to collect me. It is just another excuse for Haringey Council to make money on drivers- nothing to do with pollution control, that is absolutely rubbish. Will never vote for Labour council in Haringey - coming up with stupid schemes to make money. If Council were so concerned about residents opinions then why pretend to ask citizens for views when you are going ahead with it any way on 6th September 2021 without citizens' views. Haringey council have many negative reviews- this is another example of why this is the case. STRONGLY OBJECT!!!</p> <p>I support this scheme in principle. I support the encouragement of cycling and walking. As a resident on the very edge of the proposed pedestrian and cycling zone at 10 Parkhurst Road I am however concerned that drivers will simply park outside of my home and that congestion, noise and pollution will increase immediately outside of my house - that the problem of people driving for the school run will simply be moved to the edge of the zone and exacerbated. The corner of Parkhurst Road and Thackeray Avenue is a quiet junction with very little through traffic and so it may risk becoming an unofficial drop off area, congested with cars. I am also concerned that wardens or other school personnel will be positioned right outside my home with associated noise and privacy concerns. What assurance can I be given that the school street zone will not adversely affect my privacy and enjoyment of my property? What efforts will the school take to ensure that parents/guardians do not simply park on the double yellow lines at each edge of the school street zone which have been highlighted in the map but will doubtless be ignored. Other measures must be considered to reduce car dependence (walking buses etc). I would also ask the school to speak directly to parents who use a car for the school run, asking them to consider alternatives.</p> <p>Parents are still able to drop off and pick up children at a secondary entrance/exit to The Mulberry Primary School located at the corner of Reform Row and Albion Road as the road closure does not cover this entrance/exit point. As a result several cars and a minibus regularly park and wait around this corner making it more difficult for traffic to pass and for residents with permits to park.</p> <p>Parents lean on garden walls whilst waiting for the school to open at 8.55 All the neighbours find the parents leaning on their garden walls Parents lean on the cars parked on the road Parents and children do not line up on the side of the school It is difficult for people to walk on the pavements because so many parents are waiting on the opposite side of the school Cars still travel into the area Children are unattended and run into the road The covid restrictions have been lifted why is the school playground not open for children's safety</p> <p>stop nonsense, stop attacking the resident - biggest SCUM BAG- we do not need change to our locality</p> <p>The council have money for this project but not other issues like dumping rubbish in seymour av at junction of havelock rd. this has been an ongoing problem for a number of years and the council have done nothing about this.</p> <p>There is an assembly hall as part of the school which has an egress point on Reform Row at the junction with Albion Road. Every morning and afternoon this is used by students to access the school so that those with vehicles can avoid being fined if they were to otherwise use the main entrance on Parkhurst Road. This reduces the number of parking spaces available to local residents and more importantly creates a safety hazard on the junction of Albion Road and Reform Row where vehicles are illegally parked on yellow lines. Each morning and afternoon a minibus is parked in this area blocking the access to the flats at Silver Court. Until this issue is addressed by the school I have to strongly object to the scheme.</p> <p>There is usually too much traffic during those hours in the area, this is going to cause more traffic</p> <p>This does not appear to be necessary for the safety of children, being in care accidents or from pollution as this area is already in the ULEZ zone and I have not heard about any accidents in this area. It appears to be done to raise council revenues by eventually imposing fines on motorists. This is deceitful I and my wife would walk to the school if needed but may also use the road so as to drive to other locations why should this be prevented. How will exemption permits be allocated, for free to start with but then with a fee that will continuously rise in price. If people want exercise they will make that decision for themselves why you are pushing people into this because you know better this is arrogance typical of modern government.</p> <p>This scheme will only cause congestion in the surrounding road; parents collecting children from school on surrounding roads</p> <p>this system is useless why spend more on cameras to was 2/3 streets? Its already hard to run a profitable business, this will increase difficulty. Aside from that, how will anyone really benefit from this? will reducing traffic along these roads really reduce co2 levels? or will it increase journey times and add even more pollution. This has been observed in places like finsbury park so why implement it in tottenham?</p> <p>We do not have an issue with traffic on Seymour Avenue at the moment! I also have never once seen schoolchildren down at this end of Seymour Avenue. All this scheme will do is make it difficult to have tradespeople and deliveries - I'm about to have some major building work done and it's going to be highly inconvenient for my builders. Absolutely stupid proposal - it will also likely increase traffic on Hollington Road/Windsor Road - which is where we actually do get lots of non-residents driving through quickly!!</p> <p>Will cause build up on traffic in thackeray ave</p> <p>Will like the street be the same as we are now to avoid giving us parking charges</p>
Coleridge Primary	Support	<p>- I recognise that I am speaking from the perspective of a parent who both lives very close to the school, has only one child to organise and is able bodied, so we have always walked anyway and will continue to do so. And I accept this is a privilege/ biased angle. - However, with an effort of objectivity, this is such a positive example to the children, both for promoting physical exercise and environmental care. It is also sociable and less stressful walking down the street. - As a resident, it is not an inconvenience. We can always drive before or after the school drop off times and I am planning not to apply for an exemption/ permit. - As a London dweller and world citizen, any reduction of traffic (=pollution), for any amount of time is a gain for us all. Hopefully it can help nudge people (parents & their children) towards lasting healthier habits.</p> <p>- improves safety for all those children and grown ups walking to and from the school and all other pedestrians using the street at a really busy time - much calmer / less stressful - temporarily reduces emissions (although more still needs to be done in this area) - encourages people to walk/bus to school rather than drive.</p> <p>Already the air is much cleaner. It's much safer from a road safety perspective (which is a leading cause of childhood mortality).</p> <p>Anything that reduces the pollution around the school is welcomed</p> <p>As a parent with a child at the school I am concerned about the level of pollution so close to the school. The playgrounds are close to the roads. There are a large number of parents who drive to school and the roads are very busy. I don't feel confident allowing my child to walk to school alone as the roads are extremely busy at school time.</p> <p>As a resident and parent - There is a massive traffic problem in Crouch end, in particular directly outside of the school Coleridge. Buses, cars, lorry's and more all sit in lines of traffic outside of the school causing a massive pollution problem, which ultimately is having a terrible impact on these young children's lives. The school street scheme is just the tip of the iceberg of what needs to be done to keep our children safe in the area. Without the school st scheme there are cars speeding, and quickly turning corners, endangering the lives of very young children who are often hurrying to get to school. Much more needs to be done about the traffic problems in N8 (especially crouch end hill and surrounding areas), but this is a positive start.</p> <p>As a resident and parent I am concerned about air quality and a safe passage to school. Since the trial Haslemere Road feels much safer and calmer. Prior to the scheme I have seen near misses with cars and parents with kids crossing the road. I have witnessed aggression and arguments over parking and congestion at drop off times. The trial has got rid of most of this and I strongly encourage you to make school streets permanent</p> <p>Because I am old and disable d</p> <p>Because the pollution from cars around that area is terrible</p> <p>Because we moved to a new home after our son started primary school, we have a somewhat longer journey to school and bike each day. We had multiple instances previously where other parents parking cars or pulling out near the school gates did so obviously to the fact that there were very likely to be adults and children on bikes on the road nearby. Our safety as cyclists has been improved by the school street trial and we hope that it is made permanent.</p> <p>Before the school streets intervention it felt dangerous to cross Hazelmere road from Crouch End Hill with children. Cars would turn right into the road from Crouch End Hill and parents would park on the junction. The road was used by a combination of rat runners and parents dropping their children and this caused a lot of tension. Without the through traffic at drop off and collection the road experience is much more pleasant and feels less dangerous and polluted.</p> <p>Cars drive very fast down the road. Although the scheme is in place parents still drive down although the scheme is put in place</p> <p>Cautiously support. I do support reducing use of cars to ferry kids a short distance to school but not sure that simply closing two roads will help this. I can imagine adjacent roads will fill up and/or parents will arrive to park before the restricted times begin. What has been learnt and can be implemented from previous schemes of this type?</p> <p>Cleaner air and safety for our children</p> <p>Cleaner air in and around the school is incredibly important for all our children's health.</p> <p>Cleaner air, less pollution. Healthier lifestyle with exercise in travel from home and school. Use existin public transport for those living further away</p> <p>congestion at pick up and drop off times on the street is horrific and dangerous for children</p>

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Support	Dropping off our daughter is such a pleasure with the quiet roads. And it makes it much less likely that we drive down to the nursery on Hornsey Rise beforehand as the whole journey is so much easier.
		Far too many parents still driving kids short distances to school- causes pollution & danger around the school as well as adding to congestion in Crouch End. They need to be encouraged to move to active transport / public transport to benefit all residents/ pupils. School streets help as they make parking close to the school trickier so people consider using other methods
		Fine with this in principle, as long as there is no disruption to resident access and that these restrictions apply to the 10s of parents cars that occupy the Haslemere and Waverley Roads during school drop off and pick up times. This causes chaos, congestion, and parents block drives for residents. Pls also note the significant new planning application to build new flats at the back of Highgate Lodge, 9 Waverley Road, N8 9QS. See your ref HGV/2021/1757. This proposed development to extend the social housing in this block will mean at least 12-18months of construction traffic all day long, 6 days per week, high polluting HGV, trucks and machinery operating throughout your trial. No doubt if approved, this will result in extra congestion, pollution directly opposite the school. You should also publish some pollution metrics for the street. Current, desired, and actual, throughout the trial. There are pavements on the roads and the main road itself with busses trucks etc passing along one of the three sides of the school, so it can't really be a pedestrian safety issue. So if it is pollution, you should prove the issue with evidence, and more importantly prove the trial has worked with pollution metrics that have reduced. Especially given the astronomical financial penalty you are due to impose on drivers this year due to ULEZ extension.
		For decades residents have tried to achieve traffic calming in the road. we are continually subjected to inappropriate use including HGVs.
		For too many years drivers have been passing through these streets with disregard for walking pupils and parents, whereas driving parents would idle vehicles and park on yellows and zigzags. For Coleridge, please include Christchurch Rd and n fact Crouch End Hill as well.
		From an environmental perspective, I fully support the scheme, and as a resident I will appreciate a quieter road during these times. Haslemere Road is used a 'rat run', and limiting this for two hours a day will be very welcome. I am very concerned about tradespeople and deliveries, however. Haslemere Road already has a CPZ from 10.00-12.00. We now have traffic restrictions in the road for 50% of the working day. I am concerned that deliveries / collections may be missed, as it will just be too much of a bother to enter the street at all, and tradespeople will be so limited that they simply will not be able to carry out their work. A tradesperson who arrives at eg 14.00 may not be able to determine how long a job will take, and find themselves 'stuck' in the School Street until 15:30, which does not seem fair. With regard to deliveries, time of deliveries frequently always out of the control of the customer. I hope that for the major companies, route planning software is sophisticated enough to accommodate these restrictions. I remain concerned that drivers 'running late' or 'running early' may just find it too inconvenient to re-route to avoid the restriction and may simply delay deliveries until another day (which will have the undesirable result of increasing numbers of journeys).
		Good to have less traffic around this school. But PLEASE put everyone speed bumps on crescent Rd. EVERYONE SPEEDS!
		Has clearly reduced traffic at pickup and dropoff times, making for a safer, less-polluted environment.
		Has made cycling to school much safer. My children can cycle safely.
		Has reduced the traffic congestion, dangerous parking and general chaos which was present twice a day at drop off and pick up.
		Hello, I would very much support this school to be considered for a school street, it is very clearly the cause of a lot of almost accidents most mornings as the parents park on the zig zag / double yellow or residents drives. I would consider at the very least a Parking offence camera would solve this. BUT for the safety of the local children it would be great to make this a school street.
		Hi, I support the scheme 100%. However, I am a local resident and don't believe I received any communication about the new scheme being introduced to Haslemere Road in Sep 2021. Also, the signage is awful - its not easy to see and read until you've driven into the road. Actually, I would go as far to say that its abysmal. I was recently caught on camera and issued with a fine. I don't believe this is fair.
		Hi, in principle I support the scheme but in the last week I have realized a problem. I have been diagnosed with pneumonia and have needed to attend the Whittington Hospital on six occasions. Neighbours and friends (with cars) and taxi firms have all been part of getting me there and back. With luck these journeys have taken place outside the restricted periods but for example this morning it doesn't. Which means I need to walk to be picked up outside the restricted zone in my rather feeble state. I also have blood cancer. Do you have a solution for such situations? I look forward to hearing from you.
		I agree with the scheme wholeheartedly as families must be encouraged to walk to school and children must be able to cross safely. HOWEVER, parents are parking on Christchurch Road instead (as they were before) and all the through-traffic is also being funnelled down our road. It is GRID LOCK for almost the whole hour in the morning and afternoon with many dangerous manoeuvres. Christchurch Road must be added to the list of streets otherwise the whole point of the scheme is null and void. The streets are no less polluted and it is no more safe for children to cross and walk to school
		I am happy that the two streets on either side of the East part of Coleridge have become a school street scheme as they were always very busy at drop off/pick up time and became quite dangerous to cross as well as unpleasant for the air. However, I live on Crescent Road, not far from the corner on to Crouch End Hill, and while traffic outside our house was always bad, it has become even worse since the school street scheme was introduced. Our road is already used as a short cut to drive up onto Hornsey Lane or into Highgate, as well as a car park for people popping into Crouch End. There are regular traffic problems with queues of cars backing out onto Crouch End Hill. In the mornings/afternoons we have the people who use it as a short cut, we have the parents parking (often on the double yellow lines outside our block) to drop off/pick up their children from the Starshine Nursery, and now we have Coleridge parents parking there to drop off/collect their children. I am a Coleridge parent and I walk my children to school, and it is dangerous crossing our road with the amount of traffic as well as with the speed which cars often drive down it. The noise levels are high and the dark dust which accumulates in our flat when the windows are open just show that there is too much traffic on Crescent Road. I would strongly suggest Crescent Road becomes part of the school street scheme as well, particularly as it is the one road which goes around the entire West block of the school. Why only protect the East block and those roads? The West side is larger, has more children, and lots of residents suffering from the increase in traffic.
		I am not a parent but I think it's awful to have so many cars picking up and dropping children off at school times. Why can't they walk! Also not good for the children to be exposed to so many car fumes.
		I often walk more than one child to/from school, and I am very happy that at least some of the streets are school streets. I feel safer and think it's healthier and overall a much more pleasant experience for the children.
		I strongly support the Coleridge Primary School school street scheme. As a local resident and parent of children who are walked to Coleridge School each day, the improved safety on Haslemere Road and Waverley Road has been remarkable. However, I would be in strong support of Christchurch Road being included in the scheme. I am sure that this was a consideration in the scheme design and I expect that it wasn't included to allow people to continue cutting between Crouch Hill and Crouch End Hill. Christchurch Road is effectively a single lane road due to it being narrow and having cars constantly parked either side. This leads to 1) lines of cars going in opposite directions vying for priority and not yielding 2) cars driving on the footpath due to the lack of space 3) accidents - I have seen two car crashes in the last two months and I only take my children to school twice a week, and twice cars very nearly hitting pedestrians on the footpath 4) cars queuing on Crouch Hill to get onto Christchurch Road making the junction with Cecile Park even more dangerous than it already is 5) vehicles reversing onto Crouch Hill to make space for oncoming cars on Christchurch Road. I would propose to include Christchurch Road in the Coleridge Primary school street scheme, or at the very least make it one way (this would be beneficial outside of school times also).
		I strongly support the scheme because it feels safer, less stressful and chaotic. The quality of air is better. It has made a hugely positive impact on our morning school runs! Love and support the scheme!
		I strongly support the scheme because it has greatly reduced traffic in the school dropoff area around Coleridge Primary School. This has improved safety for cycling to school with my young child.
		I support it as I have notice how the pollution is affecting year after year my kids respiratory system. Logically the pollution will be reduced thanks to this street scheme
		I support it because the air quality around Coleridge School where my kids go is really bad. The testing we have raised money to do and have had done professionally shows that in the front facing classrooms it's over the legal limit. On rainy days the congestion on those small back roads is so bad the cars sometimes mount the pavement which is really unsafe for pedestrians.
		I support it firstly due to pollution and the environment. Secondly because my child is able to cross the road safely without fear of being hit by streams of passing vehicles including lorries and vans
		I support the initiative however believe there needs to be much more signage when entering such areas both electronic and on the road. Also within the current messaging it says during 'term time'; this is wholly insufficient for any one who is not a parent. It also names the roads on small signs but I don't know the names of all the roads in the area so unsure which roads are covered when I see signs. More needs to be done to show this is not just a way to increase revenues from fines and instead deliver the stated intentions.
		I support the objective of reducing traffic around schools but the execution has been terrible and unfair to many caught out. Also it now gives me anxiety around any other schools I may not be aware of, which I may inadvertently drive down while shuttling kids after school to activities that are further afield.
		I support the scheme because there is no need for parents to drive their children to school. Coleridge is a very popular school hence the catchment area will not be large. Walking is good exercise wise and does not pollute like cars which also can be dangerous
		I support the scheme, but as a resident on Christchurch Rd, parallel to the closed Haslemere rd, we're experiencing major problems. This road would greatly benefit from having one way traffic. Traffic is completely jammed every day, the lack of flow is not helping the pollution issues.
		I think it's a great idea to try and reduce the amount of traffic around the school. We are located on a busy main road, so any reduction in traffic is only beneficial to the children's health and wellbeing.
		I think its a really great idea, I do not drive but I think its worthwhile implementing
		I think the concept of the school streets initiative is good however the implementation is very poor and discriminates against people passing through the area or for guests of local residents coming to visit. Unless you know where all the local schools are the signage is confusing, complicated and difficult to spot.
		I think this is a great idea and I fully support it. I don't have children attending the school, but I am a resident on Waverley Road which gets extremely busy with vehicles at certain hours. This initiative would improve the area for everyone involved.
		I took my kids to Coleridge for 13 years running the gauntlet of speeding cars, badly parked cars, dangerous manoeuvring and other poor driving habits. No other parents parents kids should face this danger on their way to and from school.
		I'm broadly in support of this scheme and anything which reduces car traffic in the area however I have noticed since this school street was introduced an increase in cars in our road which is adjacent to the junior side of Coleridge Primary School. In short, traffic may well be reduced on Waverley and Haslemere Roads during drop-off and pick-up times but it has increased markedly in our street indicating that parents just choose to drive and park here instead.
		Improve air quality by reducing car traffic on selected roads
		Improved air quality

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Support	<p>In theory I support the proposed school street scheme, HOWEVER, it appears that Haringey Council have not thought this through properly. Coleridge Primary School is on two sites, i.e it's buildings and classrooms and entrances are on both sides of Crouch End Hill. If you implement a school street scheme on the east side only, for Haslemere Road and Waverley Road, it is inevitable that the school drop-off and pick-up traffic will simply shift ENTIRELY to the west side and into Crescent Road. You have maps and should obviously be aware that the end of Crescent Road where the school is, is a dead-end; a quiet leafy dead-end street. There is already FAR too much school traffic in Crescent Road - too many parents cars zooming up the road, with no where to park and no where to turn around at the dead end, they block residents driveways, etc and are also very often a danger to those children walking to/from school. I and my neighbours are very concerned that blocking Haslemere and Waverley Roads will have a severely detrimental impact on Crescent Road and the streets leading up to Crescent Road. If you implement a school street in Waverley/ Haslemere, you also need to implement a school street in Crescent Road...and allow teachers (as well as residents) to register their car license plates to drive into the street (in order to park in the staff car park). A number of other vehicles servicing the school should also be given free access (eg the vans delivering school lunches etc). Please consider my comments seriously. A quiet dead-end road should not be bombarded with more traffic. Surely that does not support Haringey's idea of reducing pollution and traffic and facilitating safer walking and cycling!</p>
		In theory I support the scheme. The problem is you haven't really taken into account the impact on adjacent roads that are now taking the additional weight of traffic including parents picking up children from the school and their vehicles. The parents are parking on pavements, across drives and actually on people's private property and driveways blocking pavements and damaging residents vehicles. If you were to address these other issues then yes, I support the scheme. If not then you are just creating other safety issues and problems and I think you should re-assess the scheme.
		It has a real positive impact with fewer cars and less pollution
		It has been so nice walking to school without having to worry about cars.
		It has been very well revived by the whole class. Made such a difference to the air quality abc noise congestion . Thank you very much for the scheme !
		It has profoundly changed the morning commute and should be extended to Crescent Road in my opinion.
		It helps keeps our children safe and it improves the air quality around the school as well, which is an added benefit to local residents. Coleridge is supportive of families walking in to school (or cycling/scootering). The school Street supports this policy.
		It is fun to walk in the road with my child. The drivers that do pass through go rather fast for the conditions (15mph-ish) so my guess is they are unaware. The signs are not incredibly effective. A surface painted barrier might be a much more effective to get attention of drivers. I am monitoring to see if situation in nearby streets seems to get worse (eg crescent road n8) The restriction time range seems excessive, an hour is a lot of time, who would be in the street 30 minutes after the school day starts? 15 minutes, from 08:45 until 09:00 seems more appropriate to the need, and reflects the times the nearby roads are blocked anyway.
		It is great makes it much safer to go to school in the morning
		It is so much safer for the kids, as many kids walk to school and pavements are busy. With no cars around that is no longer a safety issue. Kids like it too!!
		It keeps the street air cleaner, and stops aggressive drivers endangering the children.
		It makes a big difference for us, as I walk my daughter to and from school every day. We feel much safer in doing so and have noticed a significant reduction in exhaust fumes in the area.
		It makes it easier for us residents to drive in and out. Its an incentive for parents to walk their children to school instead of driving.
		It makes me feel happier to be able to walk my child to school with less harmful traffic pollution around, and fewer moving vehicles, particularly those driven by those on the school run who can be stressed or in a mad rush and dangerous
		it makes the walking to school experience much better-environmental and safety benefits go without saying but area is unpleasantly congested without the scheme
		It was not safe for kids before. It was very dangerous.
		It would keep cars away from the school and therefore keep children safe at busy times of day. Also it would improve air quality in those moments.
		It's made a huge visible impact straight away. It's quieter and I feel it's safer taking the children to school.
		It's so much more pleasant walking to school without all the cars and traffic especially as my daughter is asthmatic. Please keep it up as it's already making a big difference to our kids quality of life. Thanks!
		It's a wonderful scheme and our family fully appreciate and support. We feel safe especially when we cross the road.
		It's great to walk to school without too many cars around. The kids can cycle and cross roads without too much worries.
		It's made a significant difference to the traffic around the school, making it feel a lot safer when crossing the roads
		Less traffic around school
		Less traffic on the roads in the scheme make for safer and cleaner air streets for school kids. From my perspective scheme should be expanded to Christchurch Rd and Crescent Rd
		Long overdue.
		Massively reduces car traffic which should make lower pollution exposure for the children.
		Much better environment for the children and the everyone - more relaxing and causes no inconvenience whatsoever. A great development.
		Much more quite and safe for children
		Much safer for kids going to and from school and better in terms it air pollution eventually.
		N8 is dominated by vehicles. Anything that can help to reduce impact o. Children and encourage walking to school is to be encouraged
		Not going far enough - crescent road should be part of the scheme
		OK, so overall I support School Streets but I have yet to hear of any solutions to the problems I expand on below. Residents who live in a school street area have a problem if, they receive community health and/or social care input. Often this is provided by a range of individuals (that may change daily) and given the nature of community work, they will be using cars. Are we really expecting health and social care needs to be timetabled around the school street timetable? As I have previously reported I personally have had serious health issues and have been reliant on friends and neighbours (who live outside the school street area) for transport to the Whittington Hospital, Royal Free Hospital and UCH. There is a problem if the timing of hospital appointments require me to be collected during school street hours. This did happen once during this recent illness and (with pneumonia on a cold day) I had to walk to outside the school street area to be collected. It has also meant (on one occasion) delaying being brought back home until after the school street hours have ended for the day. I do hope someone in the council is working on a practical solution to these very real issues. I look forward to hearing from you.
		Reducing the traffic flow around school during the trial has made our walk in safer and its good to think that fewer fumes are blowing around near to the children. Hopefully this has encouraged more pupils to walk to work. The only negative is some parking has been displaced into Hillside, the block of flats next door to Coleridge West which increases cars cutting across the flow of children walking to and from school. Also the same is happening in Christ Church. I also think that improved pedestrian crossing over Christchurch road for those waking up Crouch End Hill is needed, it can be a tricky one to cross and there is increase traffic now that Haslemere road is closed for school street.
		residents of christchurch rd support the scheme. our road is congested and very busy at school/other times. Please include christchurch road.
		Road is much safer to walk now.
		Road was very busy with cars did not feel safe crossing with two small children. Air quality also an issue as so many parents sit and idle their cars. Much happier as both resident and parent. Concerned re knock on effect on Christchurch road
		Safe and healthy for the school drop off and pick up periods. Encourages walking and cycling to school
		safer for the children healthier for the children (lower air pollution)
		School streets being implemented here has reduced the traffic outside multiple school sites and is clearly both better for the air quality the children breathe on the school run and where they go to school. It also safer and a calmer way to start the day. We have a duty of care to our children to reduce their exposure to air pollution as best we can as soon as possible. This should be extended to the other side of the school, Crescent road as well.
		Street is full of cars during pickup and drop off times. Emissions are bad, cars left idling. No one should need to drive unless they have a child with mobility issues. Fully support the scheme.
		Street is much quieter and safer. I always felt concerned about the volume of cars and traffic at drop off and pick up and I feel this is a really sensible and helpful initiative.
		Strongly support this, but I'm very surprised you're not including the far end of Crescent Road (the dead end, nearest Parkland Walk). This is a heavily trafficked road at drop-off and pickup times. Some drivers go very quickly down the road and I've seen crossing children have close calls. While parking, drivers have also gone up on the kerb which endanger pedestrians. Furthermore, the two handicapped spots are often utilized by drivers without permits, or drivers who drop their children and drive off. I also have multiple pictures of vehicles being left unattended at the dead end of the road while illegally parked. It's only a matter of time before a child (or other pedestrian) is hit and hurt, or worse, killed. Please send a few wardens over and I'm sure you'll get a bonanza from all the tickets you're bound to hand out. Especially on a rainy day because the traffic trying to turn around at the dead end of Crescent Road to get their kids an inch closer to Coleridge is unbelievable.
		Support the scheme but it also puts additional weight of traffic on Christchurch Rd which is being overlooked.
		Taking the kids to school has felt so much safer, and I feel a lot less anxious about people driving big cars (or driving them too fast / recklessly) when there are vulnerable children about. The walk to and from school is more peaceful and less stressful and sets everyone up for a great day. Please make this scheme permanent!
		The reduction in traffic coming off Crouch End Hill into Waverley & Haslemere Roads has made walking on CEH safer during school drop off and pick up. This is a major difference for parents & children during the school street hours as both through traffic and school run traffic has been aggressive on these streets prior to the scheme. Air quality has improved marginally for Coleridge children, especially on the east side of CEH.
		The road feels much cleaner, quieter and safer.
		The roads get heavily congested at pick up and drop off, high levels of air pollution which is a worry given the volume of children in close proximity. Additionally the number of vehicles on those roads make it dangerous for families looking to cross when walking to school.
		The scheme has been excellent and offers a healthier and safer environment for children and residents alike.
		The street felt really dangerous with lots of traffic, cars pulling up on the curb, kids nearly getting hit crossing the road. It's so much safer now - please don't change it. The signs could be a little clearer for the cars though
		The street is much safer for the large number of children that attend the School, not to mention the positive effect on the air quality at these busy times of day.
		The streets and school commute is so much safer. The sidewalks around the school get very full during pick up and drop off. There have been many close calls with kids being too close to cars. Quieter streets have made the Kids so much safer. We love it!

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Support	There are too many parents in huge Range Rovers driving carelessly and fast around school drop-off and pick-up times, and many park on our private road without permission which has been frustrating in the past. Having these excess and non-permitted cars parked on Oakfield Court has meant that delivery vans or emergency vehicles have not been able to access our road etc so we strongly support the scheme as it reduces traffic.
		These sorts of schemes always attract objections from selfish individuals. Councils should be more confident in delivering interventions like this for the benefit of all. Driver moral shift, reduce air pollution, reduce obesity. Done let some minority undermine it!
		This has made the morning school much more pleasant and safe. Previously, there was constant traffic backed up around the school in the morning, often requiring parents with small children (often with prams of a smaller one) to weave between cars. Cars also regularly turned into the school street despite children crossing. While there is still the occasional car, I and my family feel much safer on the walk to school and they don't have to breathe in the exhaust of the mess of traffic each morning.
		This is a fantastic scheme as I've been long concerned about air quality around the school
		Traffic has much improved at drop off and pick up, it's a lot more pleasant. However, the signage is not fit for purpose, many people are still being caught out and face high fines. Blue badge holders should be automatically exempt. I can see it is not possible to close the road in a time-limited way but some very clear road surface markings might help. Sat nav systems should be updated with the information.
		Traffic outside this school is terrible at school starting and finishing times, made worse by the pavement parking bays. This makes crossing the road when dropping kids off dangerous and unpredictable, with cars trying to pick their way past each other from all directions. Unfortunately the behaviour of some parents at the school worsens this, with parking outside marked bays on the pavement, on double yellow lines, across dropped kerbs and even on the yellow zig-zig lines outside the school entrance. The catchment area of the school is less than 15 minutes walk so few parents should be unreasonably impacted by this, and the school street will greatly improve the safety for children at the school.
		Until we have more electric than fuel cars we must work to reduce the volume of traffic. Pollution levels around our schools are ridiculous
		Walking to school is immeasurably safer, not only from the fewer cars moving on the road, but with less parking around the school, there is much more visibility for crossing the road. There is less road rage, less speeding traffic (as the road is a cut through) and it's changed the whole atmosphere of walking to school. It's friendly and relaxed and fun. It's quieter and cleaner. This much safer environment provides the perfect opportunity for the children to practice new modes of transport to school, like scooters and bikes, all of which will hopefully set them up for a future of alternative, car free travel.
		We have lived on Haslemere Road for 20 years. Parking during school hours had become impossible. The level of traffic on our street is greatly improved by the scheme.
		We live directly opposite Coleridge Primary School. We had constant and persistent problem with people blocking our gates by parking right in front of them at the pick up time. The cars parked outside of our gates were unattended so that I could not ask drivers to move. It was dangerous to the school children and others on foot who were walking around the street as so many cars were entering & leaving Haslemere Road in a very short time period, causing heavy congestion. It is bliss to see the quiet road with cleaner air and better safety for school children.
		We need a lot less cars on the street. For the vast majority of pupils a lift to school is not needed.
		We see traffic and access problems every morning. The problem is not restricted to the designated remediation area however.
		We strongly support this initiative. It is much safer and calmer walking to school now without all of the car congestion and of course there is a big benefit to the levels of pollution. Before the council initiated school streets there were so many cars at pick up and drop off times and it was hard to cross the road safely with my children. In addition so many people would idle in their cars at drop off and pick up time, which is particularly infuriating as I worry about the quality of the air the children are breathing as we walk to school.
		we support all schemes that will limit cars while making pedestrians safer and reducing pollution
		We walk to school every day, not having cars everywhere at that time is AMAZING. I liked the idea when I heard about the scheme but seeing it in action now makes the entire area feel so different. I'm not worried about by kids around the street, it's calmer, there is space, people are more relaxed... Beyond the (super important) pollution aspect, I feel this is improving an entire social element around the school. Please make this happen everywhere.
		Works well. More pleasant walking to and from school. Although of course, traffic a little heavier on Crouch End Hill as expected.
		You need to put cameras as cars are not seeing the signs and are still using the road. Without cameras or much bigger signs it just wont work
		SUPPORT: The safety is much improved as we walk to school each day. The risk of traffic accidents have been hugely reduced, a great relief for all parents. I appreciate the cleaner air and lack of engine pollution outside the school gates at pick up and drop off. Its completely stopped the road rage/ anti-social honking of horns when cars travelling in different directions get stuck, due to drivers either refusing/ unable to give way to another oncoming vehicle. I have lived in the neighbouring roads for 18 years (Briston Grove and Ella Road) and walked my three children to Coleridge primary every day. I fully supported the scheme coming into effect and still do. OBJECT TO: However, the signposting of the School Zone is too easy to miss due to human error: there needs to be a Belisha beacon/ some form of flashing light to inform motorists when the Zone is active. Its too easy to drive in there on the prohibited hours en-route to Hornsey Lane, which is a core driving route accessed via Haslemere, and I feel it needs to be flagged more clearly - particularly in the dull morning light and cold evening light/ poor weather conditions in winter. In addition, the notification system by which you are informed you have received a PCN needs to be modernised. Sending paper letters after the event is 20th Century, wasteful and does not enable easy co-operation. I have missed several letters due to the post not arriving over Covid/ Christmas and was ultimately unable to deal with the PCNs in the way I would have been able if I were emailed / texted immediately. I have incurred 3 parking tickets, 2 as the result of my ex-husband driving the car and once missing the signage entirely, the second time making an error in reading the timing of the signage and driving into the zone at pickup thinking it was only applicable to morning drop off. He no longer lives in the Borough but is only nearby in Whitehall park and is still very much involved in school pickups, and it was a terribly costly mistake which ultimately hurt noone but has cost us at a time when money is tight. I also drove in the Zone on the assumption that if School HOLIDAYS are exempted, that would apply to Inset Days when school is shut. I have written to the Council about the 3 tickets which are numbered: xxxxx, xxxxx and xxx Vehicle xxxxxx but received standard letters of rejection which make no reference to particularities of the points that were directly relevant and raised above. I am aware that I am utterly disorganised and should have dealt with them, but I am struggling to cope with the responsibilities of being a single parent, am on anti-depressants and ADHD medication, and so sorting out these kind of paper based administrative issues have just caused me stress and procrastination. Along with the sense of unhelpfulness and unfairness that the letter I wrote was rejected without any reference to the facts that I am a longterm local resident and a parent at the school, fully in support of the scheme, but juggling with its ineffectualities in the both signposting and paperwork communication via Royal Mail, and I am would ask that you consider cancelling the tickets as an act of understanding and goodwill.
		2
	Object	As the scheme stands, a lot of traffic is going to be diverted to Christchurch Road, making our road impossibly busy. We need similar limits on traffic down our road if any scheme is to be workable. It's not a question of "if". It will definitely happen.
		Barriers must be erected if you wish to do this. It is a considerable effect from getting from Crouch Hill to Crouch End Hill. Please provide details for number of fines issues to date. I suspect many would have been unaware of the restrictions.
		Christchurch Road is a narrow road with cars parked on either side. When parents /carers pick up their children Christchurch Road is jammed with cars, lorries, vans and motorbikes using this road to access Crouch End Hill Road or Crouch Hill Road. Because it's a two way street vehicles are parked illegally and people lose their temper attempting to progress down the road. Some people abandon their cars to abuse other drivers. Many parked cars have been damaged and there's a risk of a serious injury or death to pedestrians at this time. Residents in this street have opposed this scheme from the outset and have offered alternatives which would reduce the problem. To date all correspondence with the Council has been ignored
		Has blocked an essential road between crouch hill and crouch end hill, the nearest alternative road christchurch can't support two-way traffic and often becomes blocked, if there is bin lorry in the road the road is impassible for 15 or more minutes. The implementation has been underhand judging by the number of fines. Whoever is responsible should be held accountable for the failure to properly notify drivers because if the job had been done properly and the signage adequate then there would have been no fines. I don't believe anyone has willfully ignored the restrictions, they just haven't been aware.
		Has created traffic nightmare in our road, cars mounting curbs, parking on corner, blocked driveways, damage to vehicles
		I am 75 years old i need to take uber to hospital appointments as i have a disability I cannot walk to car. Car comes as close as possible to my door. i cannot choose times of hospital appointments.
		I am in favour of Coleridge having School Streets. I have a child at Coleridge primary school and agree with its aims to reduce car travel and pollution and make the streets safer. However, I strongly disagree with Christchurch Road not being included with Waverley and Haslemere as a designated school street. This has caused a small narrow street with only room for single file driving to become even more of a 'cut through' than it was previously and made the street dangerous for drivers, pedestrians, children and residents. At school drop off and pick up time the street has frequently become gridlocked. I have video evidence of cars mounting the pavement and driving up the pavement to try and avoid the gridlock which is obviously extremely dangerous. In addition parents trying to drop of their children have got stuck in their cars on the road and just been getting out on the road - also very dangerous. There has been road rage, shouting, horns blaring. Residents, have been unable to get out of their driveways due to the road being blocked. Pollution has been terrible as cars have been stopped in gridlock on the road with their engines on. It does not make any sense at all to close off two roads in what is essentially a triangle with two main roads either side (Crouch Hill and Crouch End Hill) leaving the smallest road open. This issue needs to be urgently addressed before someone gets injured by dangerous driving.
		I cannot see why this is necessary it seems like yet another money making scheme for the Haringey council.
		I do not believe what you said about the increase in traffic. Since the lock downs there has been less traffic. To reduce it further parents should be banned from dropping and collecting their children from school especially as they live locally they should walk which is healthy.
		I don't feel its effective at all. cars can still come in and out before 2:30 and after 3:30, plus the school is suited on the main road anyways, what is the whole purpose of it? plus even for the people owning an electric vehicle cant even park next to the school, why??
		I drive to work and now am not able to drop my kids off on my way. It has caused such a nightmare for our family. I also think it gives a false sense of security to kids that roads sometimes don't have cars on them and they can just "run" on the road near school. Parents have the responsibility to teach their kids how to cross roads and walk on pavements - blocking a road with no cars during school time doesn't help- it only confuses them.

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Object	<p>I have used Haslemere Road for 17 years as it is a direct route from where I live to the schools that my children attended in Hampstead and Highgate. The problem with this scheme is that reasonable adjustments were not made in as much as residents in the surrounding area received no information in the form of a letter for instance. Therefore, I was totally unaware of its existence until I received a penalty charge notice for driving down Haslemere Road at 8:38am on 15/09/21. I have now gone over on foot to look for signs which I can now see but are not obvious and indeed have very small print at ground level. When you are turning from main roads into Haslemere it would not be possible to read any of the information on these small boards or be alerted that you are turning into a pedestrianised street, there simply is not the time and it would be dangerous-one would have to stop and attempt to reverse into oncoming traffic. I am in fact a blue badge holder and can see in my photo of the board that I could apply for an exemption to allow me to drive through this area during controlled hours, yet more form filling etc etc. I paid the penalty charge, though I should contest it, as this process is not made simple either. Why can I pay the penalty charge online but not contest it online? I would have to write a letter and send it recorded delivery. I have debilitating arthritis so writing and indeed typing and walking etc are painful and difficult. In short, this School Streets scheme creates difficulties for many more people than those attending the school and it seems a very opportunistic way of Haringey generating more money through penalty charges, shameful. I shall write further to our local MP regarding these issues.</p> <p>I live on Christchurch Road which is parallel road to where the scheme has been introduced. During the restricted hours our road is now the only through road and cut through between two main roads. Since the introduction of the school the traffic, noise and incidents have become quite extreme. Christchurch road is not wide enough to absorb this redirected traffic. The road now gets blocked daily, I cannot drive down the road in these hours to reach my house. There have been multiple incidents of cars being damaged almost daily and mopeds have started riding on the pavements to get past. It is unacceptable that the street school scheme has been introduced without addressing the impact it is having on the surrounding streets that are not able to absorb the traffic. What was once a nice quiet residential street in Crouch end has become a congested through-road. Either the scheme should be cancelled or it should be extended to include Christchurch road. There is also a request to make Christchurch a no-through road, which may help.</p> <p>I need to drive my own children to school in highgate every morning and the roads are congested enough without yet another route being blocked and traffic diverted everywhere. This will make my own children late for school!!</p> <p>I object to the scheme as it seems a money grabbing scheme which pushes the congestion to the main roads along with pollution. Schemes like this are not agreeable and are put in place for money incentives. The congestion and air pollution is becoming far greater with these schemes being places across London.</p> <p>I object to the scheme because the signage is appalling gmt unclear. I would be for the scheme if there were anything obvious like beacons that flashed during non-entry times.</p> <p>I object to the scheme due to being a former resident local to the area. We have had to move out of the area due to an expanding family. Despite this we have chosen to maintain our children at Coleridge School and another local school and our links in the area. There are no direct public transport routes from our home to Coleridge School and we would need to take either three buses or a combination of the tube and bus (es). The scheme has added to the general congestion around the school, particularly during school run times and I suspect reduced the air quality further. However, my main reason for objecting is that the limited availability of parking during school run times is further reduced by the scheme.</p> <p>I seen NO GREAT ADVANTAGE THE SIGNS ARE NOT CLEAR ENOUGH - I have heard several drivers and guests to our estate as recently as last week complaining that they had been fined for entering the road without having noticed the signs. ORIGINALLY RESTRICTED TO TO SCHOOL DAYS 185 day a year. with temporary boards, later WITHOUT NOTICE changed to PERMANENT BOARDS 24/7 and 365 days a year THIS HAS DOUBLED THE RESTRICTION WITH INTENTION TO BE PERMANT - WHY????? A cynical person would rightly think this a a punitive restriction where the main purpose is to FINE drivers regardless. PLEASE EXPLAIN!</p> <p>I understand the intention but i object to the proposal in its current form. This proposal will increase the pressure on Christchurch road, where i live, and other surrounding streets. Christchurch road is already overcrowded and quite narrow. The are regular instances fo car getting scratched when two cars try to pass at the same time and this proposal will result in a notable increase in the traffic and parking on Christchurch. The proposal also means that any residents of Christchurch will not be able to drive down Haslemere or Waverley during the restricted time, which is very limiting.</p> <p>If traffic cannot go down Haslemere Road, it simply goes down the next road instead (Christchurch Road). There is no evidence on the ground that there is less traffic overall - the local area is just as congested as before the measure was implemented, and the adjacent roads are even more polluted. The Council needs to improve public transport and cycling measures- particularly safety- to get people to stop driving altogether. In addition, it is impossible for most people to know that there is a School Street in operation. The Council deliberately limits the publicity (we live four streets away and were not told) and visitors do not have any chance of knowing and are thus very likely to be fined. How are they expected to know when the dates of termtime are? Yes, we support fewer cars and cleaner air, but this is not working.</p> <p>It does not bring any advantages and is a considerable inconvenience to local residents. It has been very poorly implemented. Signage is not fit for purpose.</p> <p>It does not help pollution people just drive other ways that are longer causing longer journeys blocking roads with cars this is a moneymaking scheme we have enough to observe in road signs without more to concentrate on when it should be road safety</p> <p>It has created bottle neck traffic in the surrounding streets and made pick up and drop off of my two children significantly more time consuming and stressful</p> <p>It is discriminatory to me as a single parent and nhs keyworker who had to relocate from the immediate vicinity due to leaving a domestically violent relationship. It is making it very difficult to know I have got my child to school safely as well as taking as little time as possible away from my work. I feel on these grounds I should be able to apply for an exemption</p> <p>It is main route for me to go to work. Although cycle riding in general is a good thing, I think it is dangerous. I now drive up the main road instead of cutting across at Haslemere. There are small children on bicycles, very wobbly on the main road. It is dangerous. Also the traffic is held up so these children are inhaling fumes while they cycle to school</p> <p>It makes it very hard for working parents who need to do a drop and then drive to work. Not everyone has the luxury of working from home or a 9am start.</p> <p>It will result in meaningful safety benefits but Haslemere is a very important access route linking crouch hill and crouch end hill</p> <p>It's very stressful having to remember and it causes great congestion in the surrounding streets.</p> <p>its not necessary. 1) Very few parents bring children to school with cars. There are a 900 pupils at the school and I'm lucky to see 2 or 3 cars at pick up and drop off time. 2) There is also an impact on air quality for surrounding streets - they are likely to see increased traffic flow and therefore an increase in noise and air pollution due to displacement. 3) The length of time proposed is too long. 1 hour in the morning and afternoon is too long. there is only ever any activity around the school 5m before and after opening times (I've been there early and late and its practically deserted). A half hour time slot in the morning and afternoon should be ample.</p> <p>Makes little difference to the school given the main entrance is on a different road. No congestion or danger immediately obvious on Haslemere or Waverley roads during school drop off times that needs resolving. Poorly signposted and suspect used as a mechanism to raise money from unsuspecting motorists.</p> <p>Makes street parking/access nearly impossible on a school day during restricted hours.</p> <p>My husband has received a penalty notice after driving down Haslemere road at 9.20am, which is apparently when it is now a designated pedestrian zone. The signage is clearly inadequate and motorists have not been informed of this change. This has been introduced in a cackhanded way. What would make infinitely more sense, if the council had introduced this properly, would be to make all Haringey residents aware of the introduction of School streets. As someone who does not have children myself, nor do I live on a School street, how was I expected to be aware of this? Furthermore, what Haringey Council would do if it acted more responsibly is take a leaf out of Dart Charge's book and instead of issuing motorists with an immediate fine, would be to recognise that anyone doing so was unaware of the charge and send a warning letter. If the driver contravenes the rule a subsequent time, then fine away. I have no objection to the principle of introducing School streets but the way this has been introduced is appalling.</p> <p>No prior consultation of residents. We understand traffic analysis was undertaken during nationwide lockdown orders. The road is no longer safe during peak hours as a resident or pedestrian. Parents drop off / collect their children parked up on curbs on Christchurch causing additional congregation.</p> <p>Nobody can object in principle to a School Street Scheme. The devil is in the implementation which has been heavy handed and bureaucratic. I make the following points: -It is very inconvenient for deliveries, urgent needs or any other circumstance when a non-resident needs access. We have to put up with that its part and parcel of the way the scheme operates. However we suggest the scheme only operates during term time. -The fines are swingeing and unfair. I was not notified of any transgression and suddenly found myself landed with a number of £195 fines without any opportunity to pay the lower fine. No attempt to contact me had been made; all mail is regularly forwarded. Redress was impossible. We are residents not civic criminals</p> <p>Not so much the scheme but how poorly the signage to advise drivers not to enter the road has been installed both on this road and Waverley Road. The approach signage is too small and poorly located - in particular on Crouch Hill where the sign advising that the road has closures is on the opposite side of the road from Haslemere Road, barely 15m from the mouth of the road and would be almost impossible to see if heading north towards Crouch End. This sign is so badly located that it will be obscured easily by trees, vans and (especially) buses heading up Crouch Hill. There is also poor approach signage on Crouch End Hill to prevent turning into Haslemere and Waverley Roads, especially again on the left hand sign of the road heading south where the warning sign is already largely obscured by trees. Turning left into Haslemere Road from Crouch End Hill, the restriction signs are all but invisible to a car driver as they are fully perpendicular to the main road and cannot be seen on approach. Simply turning them 30 degrees would make them fully visible to approaching drivers. You also have created potentially major hazards at the entrance to Haslemere and Waverley Roads - drivers only seeing the restriction sign as they begin to turn into these roads will be forced to make a three point turn or reverse back into a busy 'A' road which is both dangerous and disruptive. In none of the local school roads is there any lead-in portion of the road that would allow drivers safely to stop and make a correction having entered these roads and realising they have made an error - again your approach warning signs and those at the mouth of the road are so badly located that many drivers will have no idea of the restriction until already executing a turn. I assume that you will have deemed it an offence already if the driver attempts to reverse having albeit briefly breached the threshold of the road. While your intentions for eliminated pollution and discouraging driving may be laudable, your approach smacks of setting up 'honey traps' to punish drivers unaware of local restrictions. Because signage is so poorly located it really appears externally that you at least as interested in punishing drivers to raise money as you are in promoting healthier and safer streets. Please make it much, much, much clearer that these street restrictions exist or I will pursue this further with the Department for Transport and the Information Commissioner. I am already preparing to report all of this as poor signage on the DfT website.</p>

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Object	Perhaps the funding for this the project would be used instead on ensuring that Haringey Council addresses it own existing road safety failings impacting local residents and school pupils. It took 9 months for the flashing amber crossing lights on Crouch Hill to be repaired and at the other end of Haslemere Road, on Crouch End Hill I note that one of crossing lights failed two months ago and has yet to be fixed. Both these crossing are used by a large number of Coleridge pupils each day, and also local residents. I assume that it will only be after a pedestrian is killed or injured that Haringey will take action to properly maintain these crossings (and indeed others), ensuring that amber flashing beacons are working, and road users are therefore aware of the presence of these crossings.
		Residents need to go out for medical appointments
		Simply shutting Haslemere/Waverley will hardly change the journey to school but will simply diver traffic into the next street. Its already terrible during the morning and evening.
		Since parents of the school children cannot stop in front of the school, they park their cars within the private premise of Hillside, N8 8DN, blocking our private road. We had a word a couple of times but they ignore, tell us go away by hand gesture, some got aggressive, as if they think they are ok to park even in our car park. Absolutely disgusts me and wonder how they actually bring up their children. We also tried to report it to the school staff however they don't seem to care.
		Since the introduction of the scheme parents have started to drive in and park their cars in the property. They are doing one of two things: 1. Parking in the morning and then walking their children into the school 2. Parking and waiting in their car until pickup time, then walk to the school, collect their children and then return to the parked car and drive away.
		staff work at school breakfast club - arrive at 7.45 am and leave at 9am when children are dropped to their classes. drive away at 9am to other school jobs etc . staff arrive at 2.30 to set up for after school clubs.
		steerts will be crowdud with walkers which will increase spread of virus and noise. Disabled residents need taxis to come and collect them, this will be prevented. I am a disabled resident
		The current signage and method of limiting traffic is inadequate and more akin to a cash-grabbing scheme. For example the signage at Coleridge Primary School to enter Waverley/Haslemere road from Crouch End Hill road is not visible by drivers as they're parallel to the road and right at the edge. In addition there's an excessive amount of signage and a cycle lane increasing the risk for accidents. A much better solution would have been to temporarily close off the roads during drop-off and pick-up times using a physical obstacle, ie. barrier, bollards.
		The data on residential street traffic in 10 years is rubbish. I dont believe it will be enforced for teachers. I doubt exempt permits will really be issued.
		The environmental impact on surrounding streets outweighs any benefit (which there is no evidence of) of the school street. It causes hours of congestion on Christchurch road every day, in the morning and afternoon. This causes environmental pollution, which filters through windows and into gardens, as well as noise pollution - hours of the day are interrupted by beeping, road rage incidents etc. This is immensely disruptive particularly considering many people now work from home at least some of the time.
		The noise that would accompany this including angry voices of drivers disturbing our peace and quiet and children and parent on their way to and from the primary school.
		The push traffic heavily onto other roads, and give unfair fines to those who do not see the signs.
		The scheme funnels traffic onto Christchurch Rd which is already very busy and congested narrow street utilised by parents for parking/drop off of children by car & walking to school. Residents of christchurch were not included.
		The scheme pushes traffic onto other roads that are not big enough for two way traffic, resulting in lots of jams and the chance of accidents. This has been especially so as the main road Crouch Hill has been closed for emergency water works. in general the variety of roads under these controls with different times for each are hard to keep track of as signage is small and hard to take in.
		The scheme should be including Christchurch Road. Our road is already a very busy cut through. Making the adjacent roads a school street only will make our road even more unbearable and dangerous for our children but also the multiple children that walk via our road to school. I am terrified of seeing what the outcome will be come this September.
		The scheme will place untold pressure on neighbouring roads including most importantly Christchurch Road which already acts as a rat run, high traffic and highly polluting road to the many residents on the road which include the elderly and also very young children. I myself have two young children and will not allow the scheme and commit to blocking entry to the road entirely by creating blockades.
		The signage is inadequate. Our experience relates to going north on Crouch Hill (towards Crouch End): - the only sign on Crouch Hill on the opposite side of the road from the junction with Haslemere Road - because of its late visibility, the complex information about times, and, often, the concentration needed to negotiate other traffic and a difficult left turn, it's easy for a driver to miss the sign - the signs on Haslemere Road face east where they are not visible (except in a flash) to drivers turning into Haslemere who are more likely to be concentrating on traffic and pedestrians than poorly placed road signs - once in Haslemere Road by mistake it is dangerous or impossible to U-turn or back into Crouch Hill I think you need: - larger signs on Crouch Hill and on both sides of the road - larger signs on both corners of Haslemere Road and Crouch Hill facing the traffic in Crouch Hill (ie: facing south on the northern corner, and north on the southern one) not, as at present, facing east where they are not visible (except in a flash) to cars turning into Haslemere
		There has been no consultation on this with local residents, just short notice of its implementation. I note from the reminder leaflet that a purpose is to encourage parenets to walk their children to school, however all the negative impacts are placed upon residents - There is no information on how we are supposed to receive deliveries, including Royal Mail. Are you not able to encourage parents in other ways? I am also concerned about how difficult you are making it for residents to apply for this permit - Even asking for a copy bank statement to be uploaded - Do you seriously beleive that such sensitive information, containing personal data should shared in such a way. Please can you provide details of how you intend to hold this information that I have uploaded secure, in line with legal requirements.
		There is no personal inconvenience to me but I object 1. It claims to solve a non-existent problem 2. It is primarily a revenue generating scheme, hence the virtually non-existent sign-posting 3. It increases congestion on roads nearby 4. The scheme was introduced without proper consultation or consideration 5. It is anti-environmental
		This has been an absolute nightmare since it started. Residential parking is being taken up by school vehicles from parents and trying to arrange all day deliveries for heavy items and food deliveries is virtually impossible to get them at times outside the parking restrictions. Some elderly neighbours are unable to have visitors by cat due to restrictions. The noise is also appalling from both kids and parents who stand and block the pavements.
		This just moves the pollution to other parts of Crouch End Hill. Taxi's now stop in the middle of the road idling, watched two delivery vans do this too which blocks the road creating more pollution as if causes traffic jams
		This scheme has been put in place without consultation or information to the residents. I have been driving on this road for over 30 years only to be issued with a ticket recently. I was not informed and the signage is almost invisible. I also do not see why a street should be closed because of a school. This teaches children that they have priority before cars and it will induce them being much less careful in traffic. In my day and age we had traffic education rather than streets closed for us. It is very bad practice and it is, in my eyes, only another way to punish drivers. Drivers who are already punished enough by the most stupid traffic regulation throughout London.
		This scheme has only contributed to increase the traffic on Crouch end Hill road, which is the road which was already full of traffic, the road where most of the classrooms open to. The back roads which have been closed to traffic (Haslemere Rd and Waverly road) have never been a problem and helped to distribute the cars circulation at school drop off and pick up . This scheme is not solving any traffic problem for the school or improve the quality of the air. ultimately it won't stop parents that need to drive to school from doing so. my advise would be to limit the traffic on Crouch Hill Road.

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Coleridge Primary	Object	<p>This scheme has very limited benefits for the following reasons: The school street restriction will not make any difference cycling to school as cyclists to Coleridge will still need to have cycled up or down Crouch Hill which is a dangerous main road full of buses cars and lorries and obviously not suitable for primary school children. The school street restriction will not affect the choice of walking to school as the mere presence of cars using the school street will never have deterred people from using the pavements on that residential road. The school street restriction will if at all make the most minimal difference in terms of reducing pollution. The school street intersects two A roads one of which actually intersects the school itself. Traffic levels are not affected by the school street scheme as the traffic is simply displaced 300 yards away to the adjacent unrestricted road, causing significant environmental impact there as the council is well aware. It is fanciful to suggest that there is any improvement. Anybody living on adjacent roads to which the traffic is displaced can attest to the obvious harm being wrought. There has been no serious attempt to monitor the alleged benefit of the school street in order to carry out any reasonable cost benefit assessment. The council alleges that no pre-scheme pollution level monitoring was carried out due to covid 19, yet this was being planned in 2019 and the pandemic began in March 2020. This explanation is unconvincing. Moreover the only assessment of any change in mode of travel to Coleridge has been the show of hands of children aged between 4-11 at two random school assemblies held years apart. This is inadequate and frankly a dereliction of duty, suggesting that the decision to implement the school street has been an ideological one based on the simplistic notion that restricting traffic is good. The impact on the real world environment is conveniently ignored. Weighed against the lack of any tangible benefits is the negative environmental impact on surrounding roads. Simply restricting traffic in one cut-through road inevitably diverts that traffic to the next convenient cut-through in this case only seconds away by car. Thus inevitably Christchurch Road, which the council has in open correspondence admitted is already full to capacity, has borne the brunt of the scheme and has during the restricted hours become almost permanently traffic clogged and more polluted. Cars and bikes frequently take to the pavement and put pedestrians, including the many parents and children who have always walked along it to Coleridge, at risk. The school street scheme is a theoretical and ideological dogma, however it is disengaged from and patently ignores the realities of modern life in London. Restriction of traffic in one road does nothing good and has a totally negative impact on the surrounding close environment. You will not materially change pollution or under 11s cycling by restricting traffic in one short road. Nor will parents who always drove to school no longer drive. They simply park and/or drop their children 10p yards away. The concern is that the council having spent £80,000 on this are hardly going to unspent this money nor admit it has achieved nothing and caused a lot of collateral damage.</p> <p>This travel restriction is creating more congestion and parked cars on the surrounding roads at these times. I see this on my commute to and from work. At these times the surrounding roads are fuller creating a scenario where there are more idling cars sat in traffic causing issues for residents in other areas. I think more could be done to create cleaner air around our schools but fining motorists is not always the answer, as it just moves the problem to another street or area rather than solving it.</p> <p>This will make christchurch rd a rat run in the am and pm which it already is. Cars get scratched along here all the time as its too narrow at points.</p> <p>Too much traffic Cars being damaged by passing vehicles. Residents cannot park their car at busy times i.e. school pick up</p> <p>Traffic on crescent road will increase. You will then want to add crescent rd to the scheme. Traffic will increase on crouch hill. Parents will drop kids off dangerously.</p> <p>transfers problems to their streets like christchurch road. Traffic is already appallingly heavy. It will now be dangerous.</p> <p>Very poorly executed with no clear warnings to residents. The signs are not prominent and there should have been better communication to residents and stronger signage in order to execute this.</p> <p>Would support is christchurch rd was included. Closing Waverly and Hazlemere rd will drive even more traffic through christchurch rd. Every day damage is caused to resident's cars by parents driving through and fighting to find parking spaces.</p> <p>You're cutting off a vital road between the 2 forks of major roads pushing all traffic to Crouch End Hill resulting in slow moving traffic and more pollution. Secondly it creates backlogs on our residential roads. The signage is hardly noticeable and people will get fines unknowing of doing anything wrong.</p> <p>I object to the fact that Christchurch Road was not included in the School Street scheme. On Christchurch Road, we residents are dealing with intolerable levels of congestion, road rage, damage to parked cars, danger to pedestrians. I am part of the residents' group organised by David Robinson. Christchurch Road, even more so than Haslemere or Waverley Roads, is used as a cut through, with high volumes of fast moving traffic during rush hour. It is becoming unbearable and dangerous. Please see the link to video footage in X's letter to Ann Cunningham. Your reference is WK/X. I urgently appeal to Haringey to reconsider the scope of the School Street plan and include Christchurch Road.</p> <p>I heard about this from a neighbour who heard about it from someone else. I have had no notification officially. I have tried 3 times to submit application for exemption. I have lived in this street since 1967. I have my annual residents permit for my car X which I have owned since 2007. I have submitted a picture of my V5C registration document and also my council tax form with my address clearly shown. Twice I have had an email saying I have not met the criteria or have not submitted right evidence. What is going on? I am in late 70s and feeling very stressed.</p> <p>Christchurch Road has been omitted from this scheme. We are narrow road compared to Haslemere with massively increased traffic at school times, cars damaged, vehicles regularly driving on our pavements. Letter follows letter with no change. In Hackney, Waltham Forest, Islington and elsewhere a cut through road from East to West like Christchurch would have been closed. Try driving through Hackney on any side road - they are all restricted. Our counselor listens but Haringey make no changes and have not met with us despite requests. Because of the increased traffic, damage to vehicles, pavement driving and inaction we will be forced against our wishes to seek a judicial review. Please work with us and assist - NAME AND ADDRESS REMOVED</p> <p>Considering how close to the school we actually live, it affects us as we now have much more traffic on our small road as the others near the school are closed during school opening and closing times, so there are many more people parking on our road (crescent road) at these peak times. There is so much more traffic making it more intense as this road is generally very busy and there are rows on this road daily anyway due to car build ups and vehicles who can not get past. I'm also not sure if there is less pollution on both sides of the school, as there is still more traffic on the main road that separates the two halves of the school. I do not feel that the no drive through times on the road are written clearly enough (they are in fact really hard to work out while driving as the times are so specific to understand) so making a mistake to drive down the road is TOO easy to make. This results in being victimised by yet another kind of ticket payment from Haringey, which I feel is used to make money in yet another scheme. We even got a ticket in this scheme, which I am really gutted about as at the time it was so new and we didn't know any better as reading the sign was (as I said before) too hard while driving on our usual path to get our son to another school on time. But if we, the residents who live near by get a ticket, how do others know any better as they will obviously do it too. To sum up, my points are: This scheme by Haringey council is yet another way to make more money from people who make mistakes, as it is not easy to read specific times while driving if new to these roads. It affects local residents in a bad way due to excessive vehicle build up on other roads as it redirects traffic and parked cars there. It makes no sense when most schools (generally) have busy roads surrounding them and the metal railing is enough to keep the children safe. The main road now on Crouch End Hill is busier than ever before, my 9 year old has to negotiate that every day! I do not feel there is any less pollution, but in fact more. Thank you NAME REMOVED</p>
Earlham Primary	Support	<p>Efficient access to the school for the staff.</p> <p>Fewer cars at beginning/end of school day is a good thing for safety and the environment. There are too many car drop offs.</p> <p>I have seen an increase in the number of families arriving by foot, on scooters and cycling since the scheme started. Less cars blocked the narrow roads around the school entrance.</p> <p>I support the school street as it will increase safety and reduce congestion, discouraging non-residents from parking on private driveways.</p> <p>I support, because it makes the road safer for children.</p> <p>It's a very narrow road and the more we keep cars away from schools the better!</p> <p>Provide a safer environment for pupils and families to travel actively to school, encourage more families to leave car at home/park further from the school, reduce congestion near the school and inconvenience for those living on the school street. Improve localised air quality by removing number of cars idling at the school.</p> <p>some children live within few minutes walk but travel by car. I support this as it reduces chance of accident.</p> <p>The road is very narrow and it is difficult to turn around. Hopefully the traffic won't move into Newnham road at school times.</p> <p>This is a brilliant initiative and one I hope will be extended to Newnham rd and around the borough. Good luck! Haringey as a council needs to do much more to encourage walking and cycling, especially around green lanes.</p> <p>This scheme will help reduce traffic and blocked driveways on this narrow street. We are pleased that residents are eligible to get exemption permits.</p>
	Object	<p>Although the scheme will reduce the traffic flow on the street, it will restrict social interaction for the residence living on the road, family/friends visitors will not be able to enter the road. What if we have work people (house repairs) or delivery drivers that need access during that time which they will be restricted too. I would suggest during the time the roads are monitored more (traffic enforcement officers) to stop school drop off parents/guardians parking in restricted place such as double yellow line and residence driveways. My parents are elderly and yes I can apply for the exemption but I can not always be the one to drive them around due to work commitments, sometimes they have to depend on other family members / taxi which again they will not be able to pick up / drop off during the restricted times and it's not always for social purposes it could well be doctors / hospital appointments. Please reconsider this decision and think of residence first. Thank you</p> <p>disruption to visitors, deliveries and tradesperson who have urgent business at addresses in the zone. Deliveries will be lost or cancelled - trades people will be harmed and fined.</p> <p>I strongly believe that the scheme will increase already heavy volume of traffic on Newnham rd during school pick up/drop off times. Helping residents on Earlham Grove will make life of resident on Newnham more difficult. As a resident paying for a parking permit I already struggle to enter or park after work because of parents taking up the space waiting in their cars for children and having to drive around to find a parking space.</p> <p>I think they're a great idea but I live in Newnham rd which gets packed with school traffic for Earlham School (the gates are in my road!) - this will only make the situation worse for Newnham Road.</p> <p>Main Advantage: Parents are not clogging up the streets with their cars during school drop off and pick up times. Main drawbacks: 1. Added burden on residents of adjusting delivery times and of informing everyone who is planning to visit. 2. The scheme has not been publicised enough and therefore it is difficult for most delivery drivers to understand why they can't drop off items during the restricted times. 3. The street is narrow and therefore drivers have to focus on the road ahead. As a result, most visitors (who come unannounced) fail to read the signs warning that there is no access between 8.30-9.15 am and 2.15-3.45 pm. And some have had to pay penalty charge. 4. The afternoon slot is rather long.</p> <p>no parking spaces</p>

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Earlham Primary	Object	This is not a well thought out plan. I have parking permit which should be taken into account. The permit itself is expensive and only for 2hours Mon-Fri and having further restrictions and making it difficult to apply for the school street exemption within on a month isn't helping any residents. The tenancy agreement is under my parents name and they do not drive. I can't provide proper evidence in such short notice. I'm also yet to apply for a blue badge because I only bought the car on 3rd August 2021 before we got the letter for the School Street. All the car details is in my name, insurance, breakdown cover and receipt but they're not evidence.
		we have deliveries and medical practitioners that come on different days. The street is already quiet outside of this. School kids and parents on the park path and the parents drive without further inconveniencing deliveries and medical teams
Harris Academy Coleraine Park	Support	Although my street see more traffic and parking due to the scheme I strongly support it as children have a right to be able to walk to school without all those fumes, idling cars and tension caused by road rage. I think the scheme should be further extended to include Poynton, tilson and spencer roads as these are small narrow residential roads and travelling by car needs to be disincentivised further. It's a local school and children should be able to travel without use of car.
		Before school street high levels of traffic in all the surrounding streets brought regular chaos and danger for all children attending the school, and other residents trying to go about their day. Late parents would drive at high speed into Glendish Rd, there would be regular beeping stress caused by blocked traffic on all surrounding streets. The addition of Wycombe Rd at a later date was absolutely essential, as the one way in, one way out, created a dead end of anger and frustration. The scene's were terrible. Now on the school streets there is calm and a safe space for families to get their kids to school. Less car fumes and less strees. Children can walk, scoot, ride with improved safety. It's great.
		For the safety of pupils, teachers and staff
		I am all for school streets but I really wish that the perimeter should be widened even more. This would disincentivise people who COULD walk to school yet STILL drive because they would still end up having to walk from their parked car (which may end up the distance they could've walked in the first place!) Tilson road, Poynton road, and Shelbourne Road are rammed in the mornings, so I wish this would be expanded.
		I have lived experience of schools with and without school streets. The difference is remarkable. It is so much safer walking my children into school when there is less traffic and less pollution. It is unfortunate that the scheme isn't enforced on neighbouring roads.
		I support but the schme just pushes the problem onto Poynton Road.
		I support the scheme as it provides more safety for the kids when there is less cars moving around in the roads surrounding the school and encouraging more walking/cycling
		I support this because Shelbourne Rd has too much traffic along with 40 ton trucks driving down it, awful. Anything that reduces rat running and speeding is good.
		I think this is a good scheme but there are still too many parents that drive their children to school. The school streets themselves are great even if the occasional car still drives down them at key times. The signs on the majority of posts around Coleraine have been removed so we need those back. Unfortunately the school street(s) scheme also means that traffic on surrounding roads is more congested. Poyton Rd is especially bad. But seriously, there are a lot of parents who don't need to be driving. They need to be targeted.
		It gives the kids and parents a safer, healthier and more pleasant environment in which to walk to the school, it makes the street a nicer place for the general residents, it just makes a lot of sense! Strongly support the scheme
		It's a busy over polluted area it would be great to give the children a safer environment as long as it doesn't mean the traffic is routed elsewhere causing other issues locally
		It's wonderful the children can walk safely near the school with less direct pollution. We would like it extended to other surrounding roads where people now pull up for drop offs and it causes more issues there - Tilson, Spencer etc. Ideally we would like a LTN in North Tottenham, less traffics in Landsdowne and Shelbourne roads. The traffic there is dangerous, cars drive fast and we've had instances where cars don't stop at the Landsdowne zebra crossing near the school and it's been scary near misses with the children.
		Our residential streets suffer from the amount of non-residential traffic passing through, and this scheme is one of many that can bring benefits to all of the community. Air quality, pollution, lack of exercise, road safety are all issues that have a big impact on all residential communities and this scheme will help towards improvements across all these issues.
		Reduces congestion and contributes to making it safer to walk with children
		School Streets making big progress in making our streets safer for children- would like to see them rolled out through the borough.
		Since the traffic and car access has been limited the noise and general living standards have improved. Also our mental health has improved. We love living by the school , but we do not enjoy the noise of cars and our car park being occupied by parents. At time also they used to get hungry to residents trying to park or access the road. Please do not open the road to normal traffic again during school time.thank you
		Sort out pro rail services. They park their vans on Shelbourne rd and now they are parking on Glendish and Hailfield rd. This is unacceptable!!!!
		Support the scheme as it makes the air for my child cleaner and the road clearer and safer.
		The traffic around these streets and neighbouring streets is really bad, especially at rush hour and school times. I am very happy to see that Haringey are finally starting to tackle these issues in this area. It will certainly help the air quality on Glendish Road and Halefield Road which is good for school-goers and residents. However, I do think it will start to push parking problems and traffic to the streets which border the school, considering that they don't have Controlled Parking Zones or traffic filters. Havelock Road and Shelbourne Road in particular already become gridlocked at the best of times, so I can see that this may become worse. Children and parents need to be encouraged to walk to school to help ease this. Haringey should bring in a wider scheme that affects the neighbouring streets if this one is successful. I know these schemes can get a lot of backlash because it can inconvenience drivers by a few minutes, but I really hope Haringey continues to promote resident's and children's health, and the local environment, over driver's schedules.
		Traffic and speeding in the area is getting out of hand, cars from the nearby A roads consistently speed in the roads surrounding the school, making for a unsafe environment for pedestrians, cyclists and especially children. Anyone who is investing time this would see plenty of areas of improvement
		Traffic fumed are toxic to our children and to the rest of the population, children also need the exercise provided by walking/scooting/cycling to school. Traffic needs to be vastly reduced to help children and parents safely walk/scoot/cycle to school
		We need to reduce traffic in the neighbourhood
		Would be great if the scheme was expanded to more streets in the area.
	No view	
	Object	A scheme designed to make things difficult for, and fine people who need to use the streets. I have been fined for once having to use Gledish Road to access my mother's house in Halefield Road... as I'm sure you are aware the one-way system in operation in that area means that it is virtually the only way to access the road... other streets being so crowded you can only get one vehicle moving in one direction - no room for vehicles to pass each other, best access is from Sherbourne Road. Before this scheme was in place there was certainly more traffic at school opening times.... these were the vehicles being ones driven by the parents of the children themselves, not residents or family living in the area. If you are so concerned about air quality around the school, ban old diesel vehicles from accessing the streets at the certified times, not the majority of motorists now driving low-emission or electric vehicles.
		All roads and streets are full of traffic and it's impossible to deal with the area wide increased pollution. Some parents drop off the kids and go back to work
		Firstly there is no need for the scheme. I have lived here several years and never perceived an issue with traffic on my street; being one way it was slightly busier in the morning but that was it - cars would drive straight through and drop children off. Children could still cross the road supervised - its a small one way road so not very dangerous. I objected to the introduction of the pilot and scheme a couple of years ago but it was introduced anyway. The restrictions cover an absurd amount of time in the morning and afternoon - 1 hour 15 in the morning and 1 hour 45 in the afternoon (are there really peak flows of children for this whole time?). It has impacted my quality of life on a daily basis - getting deliveries of any kind or taxis anywhere (taking my cat to the vet now invariably involves a walk to the next street to pick up a ride) is challenging or having friends visit.
		I live here. I have been given a number of parking fines for parking outside my home. These parking fines are penalising the residents that live here. not that I don't understand why its being done but, if you know and can see that these are people that live here we should clearly be exempt. firstly, I have applied for a permit but it has been rejected which cam today on the 22 06 21. however I applied before the restricted came in place. so there is going to be a flood of charges that is going to come to me. This is not right. If you are addressing the parking fines to the people who live here you should be able to cancel them. we should have a right to park outside out homes. not only with the football restrictions but but the this scene that is making residents poorer.
		I object due to the fact I am unwell my children do not leave with me but will be getting parking tickets . I still do not have space in front of my house and their so do not see the point .
		I was notified of this extension via an A4 sheet informing me that I would no longer be able to drive down my road which I have lived on for 26 years without the permission of a Parking permit exemption on Friday 19/11/2021. The school is not even on my road. It is insulting to think that you can impose this extension in less than 28 days. To add insult to injury, I followed instructions and applied for an exemption certificate because I am a resident and received an email today on 22/11/2021 informing me that I do not qualify. Even this has not been done properly. Why are people who reside in Tottenham being fined and penalised for driving cars while over the wealthier side of the Borough, people have no parking restrictions or street cameras and come and go as they please. I dare you to try and impose a street safe parking system in the same manner on the west side of the borough. This is so insulting. The section of the road that I live on is a cul de sac - you cannot even drive through to Lansdowne Road. All the street safe system is doing is making people park on the additional side roads outside the Street safe zone. They cause even more danger to children because parents struggle to park and cause road congestion. A small child is actually in more not less danger, because cars are squeezing into less available space. I agree with the walk to school campaign and encourage others to do so. Fining people for driving is yet another moneymaking scam - it does not promote child safety. I would like to see the Haringey and London Mayors and all the MPs give up their cars and start walking and using public transport instead. Lead by example and stop trying to find ways to fine those that can least afford to pay.
		In an effort to reduce air pollution on a single street, you have managed to increase it on all adjacent streets. How does this make sense? The children still have to walk past those streets and inhale intensified exhaust fumes. I find it hard to believe the point of this system was to reduce pollution. It's clearly to generate income and spy on Tottenham residents, but the backlash of simply stating this would significant. In the future, please employ urban planners with an IQ above single digits.

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
Harris Academy Coleraine Park	Object	<p>In such a tight ratrun of streets in this area, the school street scheme appears to have simply pushed the number of cars (and the associated danger) to the boundaries of the scheme, just the accumulated distance of one street away. I live directly opposite the entrance to Wycombe Road and the amount of traffic and bad-tempered, aggressive drivers seems to be the same as originally found outside the school. I have seen several near-misses between vehicles and young pedestrians in the last few months. People are continuing to park on the double-yellow lines on the corner, making it even more dangerous. I do not think the scheme is working in the way the council had hoped and recommend it ends.</p> <p>It causes great inconvenience and it a nuisance. I have had missed grocery deliveries because of this restriction and had to go without fresh food because Tesco could not redeliver the same day. I do not have school aged children nor a vehicle so I really don't care for this School Street business. No doubt as Borough it is liked because it generates income from the fines which as a resident I get NO benefit</p> <p>It's already difficult to drive and park locally. The last thing we need is this nonsense. It is yet another excuse to make money from drivers.</p> <p>Please don't let cars enter this street and fine them. because the amount of money to pay is too much.</p> <p>Please understand, I agree that the vast majority of parents can walk the children to school. I agree the level of school run traffic is mostly unnecessary. My children are all grown up and I have never driven them to primary school. But let me ask, when did the council invite residents at the design stage of this schemes? I do hope Haringey did not just consult with the more affluent areas because they make the most noise and are not likely to be tribal voters. Equality for all, voicing of opinions and the right to be heard is not just for the academy's staff, the children and the Council. Equality and democracy is enshrined in law for all concerned not the select few. As your letter alluded to, The Council worked closely with each school to agree a design. Let me repeat "with each school", not the residents who pay the council tax to keep the Council staff employed and not the residents who are the ones with the power of the vote. The residents. many of whom are restricted further by the Council and many of it's elected members were not consulted at any stage of the design prior to the trial. The announcement of a trial is not a consultation. HM Government Code of Practice on Consultation has seven consultation criteria and you have already failed on the first four. Criterion 1 - When to consult: Formal consultation should take place at a stage when there is scope to influence the policy outcome. • This clearly did not happen... again! It is 9pm, Sunday 28 March 2021 and the scheme is not even displayed on the Haringey Council website. I was hoping to download the your letter which I have attached (extra page 2) but alas, it was missing! Criterion 2 - Duration of consultation exercises: Consultations should normally last for at least 12 weeks with consideration given to longer timescales where feasible and sensible. • The Scheme comes into effect 19 April which is less than 4 weeks. A trial is NOT a consultation. It is a precursor to a full implementation regardless of consultation. Criterion 3 - Clarity of scope and impact: Consultation documents should be clear about the consultation process, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals. • Your letter sets out the scheme, how I will be affected, how to comment but no cost benefits to the residents who will be affected by the scheme. We do not even know the costs of these schemes. Criterion 6 - Responsiveness of consultation exercises: Consultation responses should be analysed carefully and clear feedback should be provided to participants following the consultation. • Did not happen with the zebra crossing scheme, so why should I trust the Council now? Having my ward Councillor respond to me is not sufficient. The scheme is being administered by Council executive officers who responsible for respond to and taking consultation comments and correspondence seriously.</p> <p>Poynton will be the only road for parking, and it already has a high accident rate because of HGVs and dustcarts having to access. With the restrictions you already have in place now, it is resulting in a lot of traffic with nowhere to park.</p> <p>The scheme is simply pushing existing traffic into the surrounding narrow roads. It is not reducing it, it's making it denser and harder to deal with outside of the zone. Roads and pavements are frequently blocked with drivers becoming angry and aggressive.</p> <p>The scheme seems to have done nothing to reduce school pick-up traffic, just displace it into the immediate roads nearby – resulting in only the impression of improvement in Glendish Road and Halefield Road only. I can see the sense of the scheme in other areas where roads and locations are further apart, but the neighbourhood in this areas is too tightly packed for it to make a difference. The effect is merely cosmetic. Cars causing traffic issues in the immediate roads nearby create logjams and drivers are frequently shouting at each other, making for angrier and unsafe movements in general.</p> <p>There has been no consultation and only 3 weeks notice of the scheme being imposed. I have council planning permission for an extension on my property which will take 3-4 months' to complete starting mid April. Council hours for building works are 8am-6pm on weekdays and this scheme stops them working to these hours (am I really expected to ask them to arrive before 7.30am and then sit in their vehicles?). It also makes it extremely challenging to manage my project with booking in various tradesmen (electricians, plumbers and delivery men etc) as the exclusion times are so great (3 hours every day!). What problem are you trying to fix here exactly? My road is never that busy even at school times - most vehicles avoid driving down the road anyway knowing it is a short and narrow one way street; they drop their children nearby the end of the street or walk them in. The road is only ever slightly busier for about 10-20 minutes of the day and never an issue. There is also no safety issue as it is a quiet and slow street. This rule penalises residents to absolutely no purpose. I am furious that residents' have not been consulted and this is suddenly being imposed for 6 months at least at huge inconvenience for day to day living of those residing on the street. How exactly is the scheme to be 'closely monitored' for 6 months - is a council officer to be present on the relevant streets for 3 hours every weekday during term times? An absolute waste of time and money. I will be writing to my local councillor about this. If there had been consultation and the decision was supported by reasoned analysis I might be more supportive, but I sense a very quick decision was taken once funding was provided so the council could 'tick a box' it is improving safety. It is not.</p> <p>This is an awful scheme, all it has done is move the parents dropping their kids to the adjacent roads Shelbourne Road, Poynton Road, and the beginning of Wycombe Road. This has caused huge traffic jams multiple times, especially on Shelbourne Road as it gets very busy in the morning due to it leading to Tottenham Hale & Tottenham High Road. Therefore, there is an increase in pollution, which if the wind blows in the direction of the school then the pupils will inhale it, not to mention all the pupils who walk to school passing this traffic on Shelbourne Road so thank you very much for that. It is an almost impossible task to find a parking spot at these school times due to parents dropping off their kids in their SUVs which has also directly led to many dangerous parking situations as they wait in their cars on double yellow lines due to all parking spaces being occupied. But I am sure the council has made a considerable amount of money from people who are not aware of the signage/changes to the road so this scheme is likely to stay. I hope you enjoy your tickbox exercise and increase in funding from the council instead of addressing the actual problems this area faces around crime making it unsafe for people to walk and poor public transportation/cycle lane systems.</p> <p>This scheme causes chaos in our street at the junction of Poynton Road and Wycombe Road as Poynton road is where everyone parks when dropping off kids people are parking on double yellow lines at the junction so that people cannot get around the corner Our car has even been damaged because of this just moves the issues to other roads</p> <p>This will not encourage people to walk or cycle to school because most parents are rushing to go to work after dropping off their children at the school. There are no parking spaces at the junction of Lansdowne Road with Wycombe Road, so people are already parking on pavements for the nursery and the school after you installed cameras.</p> <p>To whom it may concern, I am writing to present my feedback in relation to the so-called "School Street". I would like to start by stating that these implementations that Haringey has put in place has absolutely nothing to do with tackling climate emergency, escalating levels of pollution or childhood obesity. This agenda is actually to extort and squeeze money out of motorists, residents and businesses of Haringey, as well as attempting to keep people from travelling out of the borough and confined to this specific area. In order to pursue the above agenda, motions are put in place to enable these extortions to be executed. As stated in your letter, Harris Academy Coleraine Park School Street (SS07) was launched in Glendish Road and Halefield Road in April 2021 as a trial under an experimental traffic order (ETO). It was amended and extended to Wycombe Road in December 2021. I hereby ask through my feedback that this so-called "School Street" neither be permanent or amended but rather removed. The reasons for this is that this so-called "School Street" does not create a pleasant environment and has become a nuisance as residents have to put up with vehicles being parked and blocking narrow and quite roads nearby while mothers, fathers and carers rush to pick up their sons and daughters from school. I believe this is part of the agenda for Haringey council and that you are awaiting such response in order to propose more permits for nearby roads as a solution. Therefore, I stress that this is not the solution to this issue and residents would not be happy with such trials or proposals either. In addition to this, the trials have made the area more of a hazard to residents, young boys and girls, as well as elderly people in the surrounding areas. This is due to the way vehicles are parked, motorcycles and cyclists weaving in and out of traffic, whilst motor, fathers and carers rush back and forth to collect their sons and daughters. What was once straight forward and would take 10 to 15 minutes, has now turn into daily chaos for 30 to 40 minutes all under the so-called commitment to tackling climate change, pollution and childhood obesity. In actual fact this has made it worse. Not to mention extorting money from motorists and residents through fines, even though they pay their taxes to drive their vehicle on the roads. What is the point of road tax if we are restricted on where to drive? This in itself makes no sense. There are many ways this could have been implemented without the agenda to penalise the public, such as placing a steel gate which can be opened and closed at certain times allowing vehicles to go through when they are allowed and restrict them at other times. (Similar to that of Church Road N17). In this way no man or woman is being extorted and the boys and girls from the school are able to move around safely. Another reason as to why I request for the so-called "School Street" to be removed is because the residents of the surrounding areas did not consent nor agree to this being a "School Street". I do not know how this name came about as we, the residents have not consented to such a name, as well as this "experimental period". Therefore, why should residents have to go through such trying times to which they did not give written nor verbal consent? How can such plans be executed without consent? This relates to the Companies Act 2006 section 44 section 2 a&b as well as section 3 a&b it states the following: A document is validly executed by a company if it is signed on behalf of the company— (a) by two authorised signatories, or (b) by a director of the company in the presence of a witness who attests the signature. (3) The following are "authorised signatories" for the purposes of subsection (2)— (a) every director of the company, and (b) in the case of a private company with a secretary or a public company, the secretary (or any joint secretary) of the company. May I stress that a company is NOT an authorised person. Therefore, this could fall into the fraud Act 2006 section 4 (2) As a resident and speaking on behalf of other residents I do not recall receiving such documents signed by the above as in the Companies Act 2006, nor do I recall residents or businesses giving consent for such "experimental period" under the experimental traffic order (ETO) to take place. Therefore, these implementations that have been put in place have been executed unlawfully and the extortion of monies being taken falls under fraud. This is my feedback and I request that this so-called "School Street" that the residents and businesses have not consented to, be removed at its earliest convenience as Haringey has not acted in accordance of the Law, therefore is liable to be put under the penalty of perjury. Many residents are aware of this, therefore it would be in the best interest of those companies who participated in this implementation to remove the s</p>
Harris Academy Tottenham	Object	<p>I have to drive to school because I care for my mum who is very ill and lives in Barking. It is very stressful looking for alternative parking. If parked at tottenham hale I then have to walk my child through all the fumes of the heavy traffic and through the bus garage it makes absolutely no sense what so ever to have this school road in place. I have been coming to this school since it first opened when my now 19 year olds were the very first year to attend the school and there has never been an accident with a child/parent anyone! It is really really unfair to have this in place and the sooner it is abolished the better! I now have to walk through more car/bus fumes with my 9 year old daughter and I feel very very uncomfortable with that.</p> <p>These schemes are detrimental to those living in the area. It was particularly painful while whole swathes of streets were closed due to road works, the. This on top</p> <p>This causes negative affects for my depression and anxiety. Now i have to walk down this road it causes extreme stress and my daughter is affected the fumes my daughter has to now inhale because of this it has way more cons than pros.</p> <p>This is a very difficult road to manage already with all the building works going on. The majority of people who access the road live on the road and it makes it highly inconvenient to have to leave home earlier than usual and also wait around after you have collected kids from school because you can't access the road. As residents have not been allowed to purchase permits this feels like an additional bullying tactic that isn't fair by the council and eco friendly pushers. Because of the 4 15 cut off period often days people are sitting in cars hovering around the road side waiting until they can leave or enter to get on with their days. To be honest this was never a highly populated road like st Anne's or even west green road. So there was no need to add additional measures to restrict residents.</p> <p>This is an absolutely awful idea for people who genuinely have to drive. Parking on park view road now is even mor hazardous for kids, constant worry about getting a parking ticket, getting late to school and work, one of the worst ideas ever!</p>

School Name	Support / Object	Reasons for views
-------------	---------------------	-------------------